



www.viennafamilycommittee.org
www.10yearsIYF.org
www.civilsocietynetworks.org

Quarterly Bulletin of the Vienna NGO Committee on the Family

March 2013, No. 85
Deadline for contributions: 1st June 2013

Vienna NGO Committee on the Family

Office of the Chairperson

DR. MICHAEL SCHWARZ

JOSEFSTRASSE 13

A-3100 ST. POELTEN

AUSTRIA

FAX: 00 43 27 42 72 222 10

EMAIL: CONTACT@VIENNAFAMILYCOMMITTEE.ORG

Web: <http://www.viennafamilycommittee.org>

Dear Readers of Families International,

This issue includes the text of a draft Resolution of the Commission for Social Development, Fifty-first session, held on 6-15 February 2013 of Agenda item 3 (b): Follow-up to the World Summit for Social Development and the twenty-fourth special session of the General Assembly: review of relevant United Nations plans and programmes of action pertaining to the situation of social groups on: Preparations for and observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family (IYF+20) as well as the Report of the Secretary-General to the 68th session of the United Nations General Assembly on the preparations and observance of IYF+20.

This issue further includes the text of a Presentation at a Full Committee Meeting & International Forum of the Vienna NGO Committee of the Family at the United Nations Vienna International Centre on November 12th 2012 entitled: 'Family Studies: The relevance of community based participatory research' by Marta Gonçalves, Ph.D. from Portugal.

Also included in this issue are reports from Member Organisations of the Committee, and recent and upcoming events of interest.

With kind regards,
Dr. phil. Peter Crowley
Editor

Table of Content

NEWS FROM THE UNITED NATIONS	3
NEWS FROM THE VIENNA NGO COMMITTEE OF THE FAMILY	19
NEWS FROM MEMBER ORGANIZATIONS	25
IMPRESSUM	31

News from the United Nations

A/68/61-E/2013/3

United Nations

Nation Unies



General Assembly

Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General

28 November 2012

Original: English

General Assembly
Sixty-eighth session
Social development: social development,
social situation and to youth, ageing,
disabled persons and the family

Economic and Social Council
Substantive session of 2013
Social and human rights questions:
social development

Preparations for and observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family in 2014

Report of the Secretary-General

Summary

The present report is submitted in response to General Assembly resolution 66/126 and Economic and Social Council resolution 2012/10. It focuses on good practices in family policymaking in the areas of confronting family poverty and social exclusion, ensuring work-family balance and advancing social integration and intergenerational solidarity. It reviews the state of preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family in 2014 at all levels.

I. Introduction

1. In its resolution 66/126, the General Assembly requested the Secretary - General to submit a report at its sixty-eighth session, through the Commission for Social Development and the Economic and Social Council, on the implementation of that resolution, including a description of the state of preparation for the observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family at all levels.

2. The General Assembly encouraged Member States to adopt holistic approaches to policies and programmes that addressed family poverty, social exclusion and work-family balance and that shared good practices in those areas. It also encouraged Member States to promote policies and programmes supporting intergenerational solidarity at the family and community levels. Moreover, it urged Member States to view 2014 as a target year by which concrete efforts would be made to

improve family well-being through the implementation of effective national policies, strategies and programmes.

3. In its resolution 2012/10, the Economic and Social Council requested the Commission for Social Development to adopt the following themes to guide the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family: (a) poverty eradication: confronting family poverty and social exclusion; (b) full employment and decent work: ensuring work-family balance; and (c) social integration: advancing social integration and intergenerational solidarity. The Council encouraged Member States to continue their efforts to develop appropriate policies and to share good practices in those areas.

4. The present report is the third on the status of preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family. The first (A/66/62-E/2011/4) contained a proposed framework for the preparations for the observance of the anniversary based on the three themes noted above and reviewed family-related provisions of human rights instruments. The second (A/67/61-E/2012/3) elaborated on the rationale for focusing on family poverty, work-family balance and intergenerational solidarity and, in part on the basis of national responses, reviewed the efforts made at the national, regional and international levels. The present report is based on the outcomes of international and regional expert meetings held in 2012. It builds upon the recommendations contained in previous reports and highlights good practices in family policy development in the fields chosen to guide the preparations for the twentieth anniversary. Furthermore, it describes the preparations for the observance of the anniversary at the international, regional and national levels and notes civil society initiatives in this regard.

II. Good practices in family policymaking

5. The momentous demographic, social and economic changes occurring around the world that affect families notwithstanding, the family remains the basic societal unit of reproduction, consumption, asset-building and – in many parts of the world – production. Families bear the primary responsibility for the development, education and socialization of children. They provide material and non-material care and support to their members and are the backbone of intergenerational solidarity and social cohesion. The achievement of development goals depends, to a significant ex-

tent, on how families are empowered to fulfil their numerous functions. Accordingly, family-oriented policies have a unique role to play in helping families to fulfil their functions and benefit society at large.

6. Although there is no specific international instrument to advance a family perspective in development, Governments, largely in response to the increasing challenges faced by families, have been actively pursuing family-oriented policies at the national level.

7. There is ample evidence that family policies are most effective when targeting the family unit and its dynamic as a whole, rather than focusing on the needs of individual family members. Family-oriented policies aim mostly at strengthening a household's capacity to escape poverty and ensuring its financial independence. They increasingly focus on supporting work-family balance in order to help families to manage their functions and foster child development. More recently, policies have recognized the need to promote and support intergenerational relations and solidarity.

A. Family poverty

8. Family poverty is the inability to cover adequately the family's basic cost of living – the cost of housing, clothing, education, health care, utilities and transport – as a result of insufficient income and/or access to basic social services.

9. Chronic poverty within families is especially troubling as it is, generally, bound to continue in the next generation. Children in families living in poverty are more likely to miss out on adequate care as parents are engrossed in day-to-day life demands. Poor families, to cope with poverty, may be forced to adopt strategies jeopardizing the future development of children, such as limiting nutritious meals, postponing health-related care owing to expenses or taking children out of school so that they can contribute to the family income. Consequently, many children living in low-income households experience academic and behavioural problems and employment difficulties later in life.

10. A host of policies and programmes have attempted to confront family poverty. Family-focused social protection programmes provide additional income for families with young children to partially meet the added cost of their support. They may include birth grants, maternal and child health-care services and special dependency allowances. Social protection is widely considered a key short-term and medium-term response to family pov-

erty. It prevents families from falling further into poverty and allows them to invest in access to education and health care for children, helping to stop the intergenerational transfer of poverty.

11. Anti-poverty, family-focused social protection programmes are in place in many developing countries. As evidence of the effectiveness of social protection strategies continues to mount, many countries, including low-income countries, have begun incorporating such programmes into their broader poverty reduction strategies. Such policies and programmes increasingly address family-related issues such as gender, child rights, marriage laws and reproductive health. In East and Southern Africa, they are also aimed at mitigating the effects of HIV/AIDS.

12. Unconditional cash transfers, mostly in the form of social pensions, are paid to vulnerable groups such as persons with disabilities, orphans and older persons. Given that most older persons in developing countries live in multigenerational households, old-age pensions often benefit the entire family by allowing older members to contribute financially to household nutrition and to supporting their children's education. For example, in Lesotho, 60 per cent of non-contributory monthly pensions received by persons more than 70 years old are redirected to children for educational and health-care expenses.

13. In sub-Saharan Africa, unconditional cash transfers target households with children. In South Africa, foster child grants are paid to foster parents caring for children under the age of 18 years. Conditional cash transfers, however, are rare in Africa, probably owing to the poor quality of educational and health-care services that make such services unreliable. Where they do exist, their beneficiaries — often selected by community-targeted exercises — are usually poor households whose members are unable to work or families caring for orphans and other vulnerable children. Although persons with moderate and severe disabilities often make up between 15 and 20 per cent of the population in developing countries, disability benefits for family members are generally absent there. A notable exception is South Africa, where a disability grant is paid to adults who are unable to work owing to mental or physical disability. National budget constraints in many African countries, however, have caused the sustainability of cash transfers to be questioned, given that these transfers have been supported mostly by external donors.

14. In Latin America, conditional cash transfers typically provide complementary income for families with young children. Families have joint responsibility for promoting the development of their children in terms of health, nutrition and education. Over the past 15 years, conditional cash transfer coverage has grown significantly and been instrumental in shaping public support for, and international consensus on, social protection. Cash transfers have been found to reduce poverty, increase school attendance (especially for girls), improve nutrition and increase rates of vaccination. In short, they have been found not only to boost family income in the short term but also to contribute to human development in the long term. Currently, 25 million households (133 million people) in 18 countries of Latin America and the Caribbean receive conditional cash transfers. Many countries have moved from conditional to unconditional cash transfers, mainly because of the high administrative costs of the former.

15. To date, the main obstacle faced by conditional cash transfers in Latin America has been the low quality of public health-care and educational services. Another challenge lies in extending the coverage to vulnerable groups such as indigenous populations, who often live in remote areas. Given that both indigenous and Afro-descendant populations often lack the birth certificates and official identification cards needed to receive benefits, efforts are under way in Brazil, Honduras, Mexico and Peru to register new beneficiaries in need of assistance.¹

16. Cash transfers in South Asia target children from poor households and often aim at increasing the school attendance of girls, delaying marriage among young girls and encouraging women to give birth in health facilities. Unconditional cash transfers typically cover older persons.

17. In Western Asia, social protection schemes generally include relief assistance to tackle poverty and deprivation, through the provision of essential social services, food aid and family allowances, and social insurance schemes to cushion the risks associated with unemployment, sickness, disability and old age. The range of family-targeted benefits varies throughout Western Asia,

¹ Cristina Gomes, "Policy responses to recent trends in family poverty and social exclusion", paper prepared for the expert group meeting on good practices in family policymaking, held from 15 to 17 May 2012 in New York. Materials from the meeting are available from <http://social.un.org/index/Family/EGMonGoodPracticesinFamilyPolicyMaking.aspx>.

with more extensive access to formal social protection mechanisms offered in the States members of the Gulf Cooperation Council.

18. There is an overall emphasis on family well-being in the region as a guiding principle in the delivery of non-contributory social protection and welfare schemes, even though such support tends to be provided to targeted individuals within the household². In Oman, for example, there are eight categories of beneficiaries of non-contributory social protection programmes, seven of which are individuals within the household, including orphans, the elderly and unemployed women. Only one is directed at the family as a whole³. Egypt has a slightly broader definition for family-specific social protection programmes, but the standard support package for a qualifying member of the household covers only 8 per cent of all families in the country⁴.

19. In several countries of the region, non-governmental and community-based organizations, in addition to some Government entities, have supported the creation of microenterprises, small-sized enterprises and medium-sized enterprises to generate self-employment opportunities for families. These “productive families” projects focus on rural and semi-urban populations and provide families with the tools and know-how to increase their participation in activities, ranging from domestic trade, basic food processing and production of handicrafts to small-scale agribusiness. In the Sudan, such a project had lifted 2 million Sudanese out of poverty by 2002. In Yemen and other countries of the region, several programmes focus on women-specific training, capacity development and employment schemes to support family resilience.

20. Owing to persistent poverty and rising rates of family breakdown and sole parenthood, child benefits are increasingly viewed as critical to reducing poverty, ensuring basic child welfare and improving child development. Nevertheless, they remain conspicuously absent in most low-income countries. In Africa, major exceptions are the child and family allowances paid in South Africa and Mauritius, where cash transfers are focused on children and received by parents or caregivers. South Africa has implemented two main child-oriented programmes: child support grants and

care dependency grants, the latter paid in the case of children with disabilities.

21. All States members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) offer some sort of child allowance for poor families in addition to specific benefits for school-age children, usually designed to encourage school attendance or meet the cost of school supplies, uniforms and meals, which represents a significant financial burden for low-income families. In the European Union, cash transfers and childcare taken together reduce poverty risks from around 17 per cent to around 8 per cent in households with children under the age of 7 years⁵. Child benefits are available in 30 developed countries, with 21 offering universal child benefits. Some countries have income-related child cash benefits, such as temporary assistance for needy families in the United States of America. Overall, the States members of OECD spend, on average, 2.3 per cent of their gross domestic product on family policies, two thirds of which in cash benefits and tax breaks⁶.

22. In the Russian Federation, maternal vouchers, mainly aimed at encouraging women to have more children, are provided to families when a second or any consecutive child is born or adopted. They can be used to improve housing conditions, invest in children’s education or contribute to a pension fund. To date, close to 5 million women have obtained the vouchers.⁷

23. In addition to receiving cash benefits, families with children are often granted tax allowances and tax credits, such as working tax credits in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. In the United States, earned income tax credit is a refundable tax credit for low-income workers and their families. In 2008, more than 24 million families received income support through this federal programme, to a total of \$50 billion in aid. The programme lifts 2.5 million children with

² Economic and Social Council for Western Asia, “Social policy and social protection: challenges in the ESCWA region”, Social Development Bulletin, vol. 2, No. 8 (2009).

³ See www.mosd.gov.om/social_care1.asp.

⁴ See www.almasryalyoum.com/node/844476.

⁵ Dominic Richardson and Jonathan Bradshaw, “Family-oriented anti-poverty policies in developed countries”, 2012. Available from <http://social.un.org/index/Family/>

InternationalObservances/TwentiethAnniversaryofYF2014/B
ackgroundPapers.aspx.

⁶ See www.oecd.org/els/familiesandchildren/socialspendituredata/abasesocx.htm.

⁷ Andrey Borodaevskiy, “Families under strain: realities in post-socialist Russia”, paper prepared for the expert group meeting on good practices in family policymaking (see footnote 1).

working parents out of poverty each year⁸. In Australia, an increased family tax benefit is offered to families with children between the ages of 13 and 15 years, provided that they remain in school. It aims to increase family income and improve children's educational achievements⁵.

24. The OECD findings indicate that poverty risks for families in developed countries are highest in the years when parents forgo earnings to care for their young children. Once children are in school, earnings become more stable. Thus, developing and developed countries alike, to help families in the early formative years so critical for child development, need a variety of policies supporting parental employment and work-family balance.

B. Work-family balance

25. Given that gainful employment is considered the single most important factor in combating poverty, policies aiming at facilitating work-family balance have a key role in development. Moreover, since poverty rates are lower in dual-earner households, policies responding to the realities of dual-earner families are needed to reduce poverty and make it easier for both parents to combine their work and family responsibilities⁹.

26. Family policies in support of employed parents typically include parental leave, early childhood care and education and flexible working arrangements. Parental leave policies and the provision of childcare and dependent care have been identified as contributing to progress in the attainment of the Millennium Development Goals relating to poverty reduction, gender equality, child mortality and maternal health¹⁰.

27. In recent years, significant progress has been made in the provision of maternity leave in Latin America, where the most extensive maternity protection — of 17 weeks or more — is offered in Brazil, Chile, Costa Rica, Cuba and Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of). Maternity leave is fully paid in

18 countries, while others offer 80 per cent or less of the monthly salary. Contributory maternity benefits have also been extended in China, Jordan, Namibia and the United Republic of Tanzania. Efforts are also under way to extend maternity leave for working women in some Western Asian countries.

28. Under the terms of Council Directive 2010/18/EU of 8 March 2010, all States members of the European Union were to provide, from March 2012, at least four months of non-transferable parental leave per parent to enable men and women to take care of their children until a given age. No payment or flexibility requirements have been specified, however¹¹.

29. A growing number of high-income countries offer flexibility as to when parental leave may be taken, e.g. after maternity or paternity leave, as time off from work until the child reaches school age or on a full-time or part-time basis. Parents can also take longer periods of leave with lower benefits or shorter periods with higher benefits. Some provisions make it possible to transfer leave entitlements to carers other than parents. Currently, Slovenia has the greatest flexibility, with six options. New flexible arrangements have been introduced in Belgium, Germany and Norway. In Belgium, a statutory entitlement known as time credit, or the career break system, enables workers to break from employment for up to five years for reasons not confined to childcare provision. In addition, nearly half of the States members of the European Union have provisions for leave of 10 days or more per year to care for sick children. In several European countries, women may benefit from reduced working hours for the purpose of breastfeeding¹¹.

30. To a significant extent, the expansion of flexible leave provisions arises from the growing recognition that the direct, short-term cost of granting leave to working parents is outweighed by economic and social gains in employment retention and human capital development¹². A number of

⁸ Trina R. Williams Shanks and Sandra K. Danzinger, "Anti-poverty policies and programs for children and families", in *Social Policy for Children and Families: A Risk and Resilience Perspective*, 2nd ed., Jeffrey M. Jenson and Mark W. Fraser, eds. (Thousand Oaks, California, SAGE publications, 2011).

⁹ OECD stated in its 2011 publication, *Doing Better for Families*, that the poverty rate of jobless households in its member States was nearly three times higher than that of families with one earner. In two-earner households, the risk of poverty was only 4 per cent.

¹⁰ International Labour Organization, document GB.312/POL/4. Available from www.ilo.org/gb/WCMS_163642/lang-en/index.htm.

¹¹ See Fred Deven, "Leave arrangements in Europe: major trends, challenges and policy issues", paper prepared for the expert group meeting on confronting family poverty and social exclusion, ensuring work-family balance and advancing social integration and intergenerational solidarity in Europe, held from 6 to 8 June 2012 in Brussels. Available from <http://family2014.org/egmb/PD4-Deven.pdf>.

¹² Janet C. Gornick and Ariane Hegewisch, "The impact of 'family-friendly policies' on women's employment outcomes and on the costs and benefits of doing business", June 2010. Available from www.hsph.harvard.edu/centers-

countries have been testing measures aimed at lowering the expenses associated with paid leave entitlements, in particular in the context of small enterprises, including information and training sessions on leave policies, maternity planning, adopting occupational health and safety provisions for pregnant women, coaching during leave and gradual return to work through part-time work and flexible working arrangements¹⁰.

31. In addition to parental leave, affordable, good-quality childcare services are critical to the economic security of families, especially vulnerable and single-parent families. Nevertheless, although the competing priorities of work and family are at the forefront of the lives of parents, parental employment and children's development have in general been regarded as separate policy issues. Thus, public policies are concerned mostly with encouraging work among low-income families and rarely take into account the developmental needs of children.

32. Estimates indicate that more than 930 million children under the age of 14 years live in households where all adults work outside the home. Although parents, to retain their employment, need access to affordable, high-quality childcare, nearly half of the world's countries currently have no formal, early childhood care and educational programmes for children under the age of 3 years¹³. Moreover, the quality of early childhood care and educational services remains low in most developing countries.

33. The many challenges notwithstanding, day-care programmes have been expanding in Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Costa Rica. In Chile, the Chile Crece Contigo (Chile Grows with You) programme provides free childcare to the most vulnerable 40 per cent of the population. Day-care programmes such as those on child development in Ecuador, community homes in Guatemala or maternal companies in Honduras allow women living in poverty to manage their work and family lives better. Similarly, a programme has been implemented in Mexico to offer day-care services to mothers who are employed or studying. In Peru, a new national programme, Cuna Más, financed by the Ministry of Development and Social Inclusion,

assists families with the care and education of children under the age of 3 years.

34. In Brazil, Fundo Pró-Infância promotes the care, education and nutrition of preschool children. It is based on a partnership between national and local governments to build and provide equipment for day-care facilities, in particular in poor neighbourhoods. It combines pedagogic, administrative, recreational, sport and food components. The Mexican Social Security Institute, in collaboration with the private sector, implements a childcare programme to encourage the creation of new, small childcare facilities as small businesses owned by women.

35. A number of countries have adopted a threshold number of female employees beyond which employers are required to provide childcare facilities. Such facilities are mandatory in Libya and Tunisia in enterprises employing 50 or more women. In East Asia, childcare services have been expanding in the wake of rapid fertility declines. In the Republic of Korea, the Government has eased its construction and building operation regulations to encourage the development of childcare facilities. In addition, childcare subsidies are offered to families with children under the age of 6 years. In Japan, the child-family support plan 2005-2009 created various types of childcare services and increased the number of children covered¹⁴.

36. Several pioneer work programmes in developing countries offer childcare services. The Productive Safety Net Programme in Ethiopia provides time off for pregnancy and breastfeeding, day-care facilities and flexible working arrangements for parents. The Labour-Intensive Rural Public Works Programme in Botswana and the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme in India both offer similar provisions.

37. The States members of the European Union offer various childcare services. In France, childcare options include maternal assistants caring for one to four children in their own homes, public and company day care and nursery schools for children older than 3 years of age. Government policies support the various types of care through the use of grants and tax breaks for childcare expenses for parents, the provision of subsidies to day-care centres, payroll subsidies for nannies and reimbursement of social security contribu-

institutes/population-development/files/gornick-hegewisch-wb-prepubversion-2011.pdf.

¹³ Jody Heymann and Kristen McNeill, "Families at work: what we know about conditions globally", paper prepared for the expert group meeting on good practices in family policymaking (see footnote 1).

¹⁴ Meejung Chin, "Demographic changes and work-family balance policies in East Asia", paper prepared for the expert group meeting on good practices in family policymaking (see footnote 1).

tions for maternal assistants. Interestingly, not counting children of parents on parental leave, 27 per cent of children under the age of 3 years are cared for by parents who use flexible working arrangements, such as part-time working, staggered hours and telecommuting¹⁵. In Norway, a cash-for-care scheme allows parents with a child aged between 12 and 36 months to receive a cash benefit, provided that they do not use publicly funded early child education and care services.

38. Out-of-school-hours care programmes, such as breakfast clubs, after-school homework programmes and extended schools, have also expanded. They are especially important for children and young people in low-income families and parents in non-standard and inflexible jobs. Out-of-school-hours care services are broadly available in, among others, Australia, Estonia and Hungary and have been launched in middle-income countries such as Chile and Costa Rica.

39. Significantly, research shows the importance of stable parental care for infants and that, optimally, young children should not spend long hours without their parents in poor-quality childcare. It should also be emphasized that parents must be able to make the best choice for childcare arrangements according to their family's needs and the best interest of the child. Flexible working hours increase options and may help with making the best choices.

40. In all regions, statutory laws limit working hours in the public and private sectors. In several developing countries, informal codes of good practice have emerged. The legal right to request flexible working arrangements is rare, however. In South Africa, several codes of good practice require employers to provide an accessible, supportive and flexible environment for employees with family responsibilities. This includes considering flexible working hours and granting sufficient family responsibility leave to both parents¹⁶. Some enterprises in Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Uruguay allow flexitime and other working arrangements for family reasons as part of collective bargaining agreements or informal practices. Bahrain introduced flexible working hour arrangements in 13

Government ministries in 2011. In Lebanon, several private-sector companies have also made significant efforts to improve the work-life balance of their workforce as part of corporate social responsibility strategies. This sector has thus become more family friendly through the provision of support services for working mothers, incentives for telecommuting, flexible working hours and a combination of longer working days with extended weekends¹⁷.

41. A formal statutory right to request flexible working hours or part-time work for family reasons is offered in eight European countries, while informal arrangements exist in most countries, with varying ease of access. In addition, Austria, Croatia, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain and Sweden grant parents of young children and children with disabilities the right to work part-time. Austria, Greece, Finland, Portugal, Italy and the United Kingdom have provisions for flexible working hours and reduced hours for working parents.

42. It should be noted that, even in countries that have general leave policies and other work-family balance strategies, some categories of workers may not enjoy access to such programmes, including workers with insecure or unstable labour market histories, the self-employed, foreign migrants and those employed by private employers with fewer than 50 employees. Importantly, the work-family balance provisions described above are not available to workers in the informal labour market, a prime employer in most developing countries. Key vulnerable social and occupational groups, such as young employees without a labour contract, including many young mothers, and domestic workers, are also excluded from such provisions.

43. Some countries, however, have taken steps to protect workers in the informal economy. In Argentina, a pregnancy allowance for social protection provision offers cash benefits from the twelfth week of pregnancy until delivery to women

¹⁵ Marie-Laure des Brosses, "Work-family balance: good practices from France", paper prepared for the expert group meeting on good practices in family policymaking" (see footnote 1).

¹⁶ Margaret O'Brien, "Work-family balance policies", 2012. Available from <http://social.un.org/index/Family/InternationalObservances/TwentiethAnniversaryofIYF2014/BackgroundPapers.aspx>.

¹⁷ Dima Jamali, Asem M. Safieddine and Myriam Rabbath, "Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility synergies and interrelationships", *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, vol. 16, No. 5 (September 2008), pp. 443-459

in the informal economy who earn less than the minimum wage. In India, the Unorganized Workers' Social Security Act (2008) established minimum social security guarantees to which informal employees were entitled, including maternity benefits.

44. Although most working place and care provisions are primarily designed for working parents of young children, flexible work-care innovations are also necessary to support the growing number of employees with care responsibilities throughout the adult life course, in particular those who care for older persons or those with disabilities.

45. In the context of the current economic and financial crisis, much expenditure relating to work and family has been affected by austerity measures. In Europe, several countries have abolished birth grants and tax breaks and reduced parental leave benefits and leave duration. Means tests have sometimes been introduced to gain access to formerly universal child benefit grants, while spending on long-term care has been cut. Faced with widening deficits, most middle-income countries have been compelled to introduce cuts in health and education spending, jeopardizing the well-being of increasing numbers of families¹⁰.

C. Intergenerational solidarity initiatives

46. The scope of family policies has been gradually expanding, from focusing mainly on families with young children to the inclusion of all generations. Such expansion is further warranted by rapidly ageing societies where family-oriented policies need to take into account the changing roles and demands of all generations. According to the United Nations Population Fund, the cost of caring for older dependants may negatively affect families' savings capacity, employability and productivity. Moreover, changing living arrangements have resulted in many older persons living alone or in skipped-generation households.

47. Against this backdrop, initiatives in support of strengthening the bonds between generations and promoting shared responsibility and broader goals of social integration are growing in importance. They include old-age pensions, caregiver support, promoting multigenerational living arrangements, intergenerational learning programmes and family and parental education.

48. Social pensions, aimed primarily at poverty reduction, also tend to promote intergenerational exchanges and support, as previously noted. Moreover, pensions help to raise the status of

older persons within their families and are sometimes invested in income-generating activities for other family members.

49. Caregiver support programmes help adult progeny to care for ageing parents, grandparents and other older relatives who are primary caregivers of children in the same household. Grandparents raising grandchildren in the United States may also qualify for tax credits and earned income tax credits. Similarly, in Singapore, tax relief is offered to any family member who financially supports his or her grandparent or grandparents and/or great-grandparent or great-grandparents.

50. A number of housing laws have been passed aimed at promoting multigenerational living arrangements in several countries, including Canada, Japan, Qatar, Singapore and the United States. In Singapore, the Multigeneration Priority Scheme gives priority allocation for public housing to extended families, while a housing grant is paid to newly-wed couples if they buy accommodation near their parents' house. The Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation encourages multigenerational living through its homeowner residential rehabilitation assistance programme, offering financial help for homeowners to create living areas for older persons who meet income and disability criteria. In the United States, the American Dream Down-payment Act includes provisions supporting housing development for grandparents raising grandchildren.

51. The multigenerational living concept is also promoted in some welfare institutions, where age-integrated facilities combine childcare services with senior-care services, such as intergenerational care centres or shared sites in the United States.

52. In Singapore, the Council for Third Age organizes intergenerational learning programmes for older persons and teenage students, where older persons are mentored by the younger generation in areas such as information and communications technology. In many countries, schools invite older residents of the community to teach children about traditions, history, art and craft and to join in sports activities. In Ireland, a civil society organization, Generations in Action, runs art and storytelling programmes with the participation of senior citizens and primary school children, in partnership with active retirement associations, libraries, residential and day-care services, schools, resource and arts centres. The "Communities for all ages" initiative in the United States applies a multigenerational perspective to pro-

mote the well-being of all age groups and to create safe neighbourhoods, support at-risk children and families and help immigrant families. In addition, the Generations United “Seniors4Kids” programme provides volunteer opportunities to older adults in support of programmes and policies benefiting children and young people¹⁸.

53. Family and parental education are also essential for strengthening intergenerational bonds. Advanced degrees such as a master’s degree in family studies or family counselling, offered in many high-income countries, are critical to building national research and policy capacity in the area of family and in training family counsellors. A number of civil society organizations, including the International Federation for Family Development, also offer parental education courses designed to suit the various stages of child development in many countries.

54. The growing interest in intergenerational initiatives as a way of promoting social inclusion has led to the increased involvement of civil society organizations in supporting such programmes. Among them, the International Consortium for Intergenerational Programmes promotes intergenerational programmes, practice, research and public policy from a global perspective. It conducts international conferences aimed at sharing intergenerational practices and maintains a directory of intergenerational programmes (see www.icip.info).

55. To protect the rights of older persons and prevent neglect and abuse, some Governments have enacted punitive legislation obliging adult children to care for their ageing parents. In Singapore, under the Maintenance of Parents Act, elderly parents may approach a legal tribunal if their offspring do not support them financially, although the act was amended in 2010 to focus more on an approach whereby conciliation would be the first step. Similar provisions have been enacted in India under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act (2007). The filial responsibility law in China stipulates that family members have a duty to care for their parents, while another law passed recently requires offspring to visit their parents regularly. Some countries have also adopted provisions that limit in-

heritance entitlements for adult children if they are unable or unwilling to support their parents¹⁹.

III. Preparations for, and observance of, the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family in 2014

A. Preparations at the national and international levels

Commission for Social Development and national initiatives

56. The Commission for Social Development has reviewed the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family in 2014 on an annual basis. At its fiftieth session, held in New York from 1 to 10 February 2012, Member States expressed support for the continued preparations for the twentieth anniversary, noting that they served as an opportunity to revisit the challenges faced by families and improve family well-being through the implementation of effective national policies.

57. Several Member States emphasized that social protection measures were essential in safeguarding families from economic shocks and protecting them in the context of socioeconomic changes. Although the family itself was a source of informal social protection, it needed State support to perform that function effectively. Governments noted that social protection was indispensable in times of socioeconomic crises and helped by shielding families from both shocks and worsening living conditions. The International Year of Family Farming, 2014, was also seen as a way to raise support for rural families.

58. During the Commission’s deliberations, several Member States shared information on their national approaches and programmes in support of families. Argentina referred to its programmes Argentina Trabaja (Argentina is Working) and Familia Argentina (Argentine Family), which targeted the most vulnerable families and communities and aimed at the social inclusion of children and older persons through the provision of cash transfers. Japan emphasized its efforts to assist parents raising children in order to boost declining

¹⁸ Donna Butts, “Encouraging intergenerational solidarity in the United States”, paper prepared for the expert group meeting on good practices in family policymaking (see footnote 1).

¹⁹ Donna M. Butts, Leng Leng Thang and Alan Hatton Yeo, “Policies and programmes supporting intergenerational relations” (2012). Available from <http://social.un.org/index/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=VGkxtn3WSyA%3d&tabid=1733>

fertility rates. Several countries, including Indonesia, the Republic of Korea, Senegal and South Africa, highlighted their efforts to deliver social transfer programmes and provide financial services to improve access to basic services and nutrition for families living in poverty. In Colombia, two programmes, Familias en Acción (Families in Action) and Ingreso para la Prosperidad Social (Social Income Prosperity), were aimed at strengthening education and family health and providing incentives to families to acquire income-generating capacities, respectively. A new programme in Brazil, Bolsa Verde (Green Grant), offered small transfers to extremely poor families to preserve national forests, extractive reserves and sustainable development areas.

Department of Economic and Social Affairs

59. The Division for Social Policy and Development of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs organized several meetings in preparation for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family. An expert group meeting on the theme “Good practices in family policymaking: family policy development, monitoring and implementation: lessons learnt” was held in New York from 15 to 17 May 2012. The experts discussed current family policy trends and offered examples of good practices in family law development, work-family balance, family poverty and social exclusion reduction, in addition to describing efforts to strengthen social integration and intergenerational solidarity. They emphasized that all Member States had a responsibility to invest in families and develop sound policies and programmes that supported families and benefited society²⁰.

60. A panel discussion on ensuring work-family balance was organized as part of events to mark the International Day of Families (15 May) at United Nations Headquarters. The panellists emphasized that recent global changes in the world of work and families themselves called for better support for working families around the world. With greater numbers of women entering the labour force and extended family members increasingly no longer available to care for young children, parents had been finding it more and more difficult to manage work and care responsibilities. In the meantime, workplace policies had not kept pace with such changes and had continued to be

designed with the assumption that one parent would be able to stay at home and care for the children. Examples of good policies and practices from Europe, the United States, East Asia and Latin America were highlighted²¹.

61. The Division for Social Policy and Development launched a report entitled “Family-oriented policies for poverty reduction, work-family balance and intergenerational solidarity”, focusing on the three themes guiding the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family²².

B. Regional initiatives

62. A regional expert group meeting on confronting family poverty and social exclusion, ensuring work-family balance, advancing social integration and intergenerational solidarity in Europe was held in Brussels from 6 to 8 June 2012. The meeting was organized by the International Federation for Family Development, the Doha International Institute for Family Studies and Development and the Committee of the Regions, in cooperation with the Focal Point on the Family in the Division for Social Policy and Development. The participants discussed family-oriented policies in Europe, focusing in particular on those relating to child poverty, family resilience, families at risk and social protection. In considering work-family balance, they reviewed parental leave arrangements in Europe and issues of family formation and transition to parenthood. Social inclusion and the integration of older persons were discussed within the European Union framework of active ageing. Examples of good practices were offered under each topic of discussion. Experts provided recommendations for further action on family policy development in Europe²³.

63. A meeting to raise awareness of the objectives of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family was held in Brussels on 5 June 2012. Presentations were made by officials of the Committee of the Regions, the Chairperson of the Intergroup on the Family of the European

²⁰ Materials from the expert group meeting are available from <http://social.un.org/index/Family/EGMonGoodPracticesinFamilyPolicyMaking.aspx>.

²¹ See <http://social.un.org/index/Family/InternationalObservances/InternationalDayofFamilies/2012.aspx>.

²² Available from www.un.org/esa/socdev/family/docs/WorkFamilyBalanceandIntergenerationalSolidarity.pdf.

²³ See <http://social.un.org/index/Family/InternationalObservances/TwentiethAnniversaryofIYF2014/RegionalMeetings.aspx>.

Parliament and the Director responsible for Europe 2020: Social Policies at the European Commission. Members of the European Parliament, representatives of the Committee of the Regions and civil society organizations took part in the meeting.

64. The European Union promotes family policy evaluations and exchange of good practices through its European Alliance for Families, the European Demography Forum and expert groups. The European Year for Active Ageing and Solidarity between Generations 2012 (<http://europa.eu/ey2012>) seeks to raise awareness of the contributions of older persons to society and to encourage policymakers to create better opportunities for active ageing and the strengthening of solidarity between generations. It aims to promote active ageing in the areas of employment, participation in society and independent living.

65. The midterm review of the Plan of Action on the Family in Africa showed mixed results. Only 10 of 25 States members of the African Union who participated in the review have adjusted their programmes and policies in line with the Plan's recommendations aimed at strengthening family units, improving their welfare and addressing their needs. Many member States, however, have developed programmes for income-generating activities and microcredit schemes to ensure the self-reliance and independence of families. Such programmes include microcredit programmes in Lesotho, loans without interest in Algeria and in-kind support in Burundi. Regional activities are planned in support of the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family.

C. Civil society initiatives

66. As noted by the General Assembly in its resolution 66/126, civil society, including research and academic institutions, has a pivotal role in advocacy, promotion, research and policymaking in respect of family policy development and capacity-building. Many civil society organizations have actively supported the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family and several have organized local events to raise awareness of family issues, including the Confederation of Family Organisations in the European Union, the Doha International Institute for Family Studies and Development, the International Center for Work and Family, the International Federation for Family Development, the International Federation for Home Economics, the

International Federation for Parent Education, Mouvement mondial des mères international, the National Council on Family Relations (United States), the New York and Vienna NGO committees on the family, the Parents Forum, the Service and Research Institute on Family and Children, the Universal Peace Federation and the Women's Board Educational Cooperation Society.

67. The Doha International Institute for Family Studies and Development organized seminars on family policy, including a briefing on the objectives of the twentieth anniversary held as a side event of the fiftieth session of the Commission for Social Development. It also sponsored the launch of a book entitled *The Family and the MDGs: Using Family Capital to Achieve the 8 Millennium Development Goals*, in which family-oriented good practices around the world were highlighted.

68. Several awareness-raising meetings were held during the period 2011-2012, with the participation of the Focal Point on the Family in the Division for Social Policy and Development. For example, the International Federation for Family Development organized meetings and conferences on the objectives of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family and the preparations for the observance of the anniversary for policymakers, academics and opinion makers, such as that held in Madrid in October 2011. Similarly, several meetings on the reconciliation of work and family life were organized in June 2012 by the International Center for Work and Family of the IESE Business School of the University of Navarra in Barcelona.

69. The Confederation of Family Organisations in the European Union launched a campaign to designate 2014 as the European Year for Reconciling Work and Family Life and held a seminar entitled "Reconciling work and care responsibilities: a challenge for family carers in Europe" during a session of the European Parliament in Brussels in June 2012. The International Federation for Home Economics launched a campaign to empower families, individuals and communities through home economics, aiming to build awareness of family-related challenges and use home economics knowledge and education to improve the quality of life of individuals, families and communities²⁴.

70. The Service and Research Institute on Family and Children, based in Chennai, India, actively supports the objectives of the twentieth anniversary

²⁴ See <http://ifhe.org/990.html>.

sary of the International Year of the Family through project activities. Its projects aim at empowering families by ensuring their optimal education and health, in addition to boosting the employability skills of project participants. Its intergenerational solidarity projects focus on good parenting, childcare, enrichment and counselling courses. It uses a social and behavioural sciences approach to ensure optimal family functioning.

71. The New York and Vienna NGO committees on the family promote the objectives of the International Year of the Family and its twentieth anniversary through their seminars on family issues. The Vienna Committee is currently carrying out a study on the contributions of civil society organizations to the well-being of families, aimed at gathering and analysing data from family-oriented civil society organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council.

IV. Conclusions and recommendations

72. The approaching twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family in 2014 serves as a reminder that the further development of family-focused policies should be an integral part of overall development efforts.

73. The benefits of socioeconomic policies focusing on families range, on the one hand, from happier families, improved child development outcomes and stronger intergenerational bonds, to having more comprehensive and cost-effective public policies, on the other. In turn, the promotion of good practices in family policies is an opportunity to arrive at sound, informed decisions about policies and programmes that are beneficial to families and cost-efficient to societies.

74. Policies aimed at poverty reduction, ensuring the work-family balance and supporting healthy intergenerational relations complement one another and positively affect not only individual families and communities but also societies at large. They have proven instrumental in ensuring family well-being and have helped to strengthen the bonds that bind generations together.

75. Anti-poverty efforts, such as income-support programmes for families with children, conditional and unconditional cash transfers and child benefits, improve child and family welfare but may not be sufficient to lift families out of poverty permanently. Families, especially those most vulnerable and those headed by women, may need assistance with job training, access to education, health care and housing to escape the poverty

trap. Moreover, other services helping to create a nurturing environment for children, including high-quality childcare, are needed.

76. While gainful employment provides the best defence against poverty, a lack of attention to work-family reconciliation strategies negatively affects not only the job quality, health and productivity of workers, but also their families and children. Governments, in cooperation with employers' and workers' organizations, are responsible for implementing the legislative and policy frameworks that facilitate work-family balance. Such arrangements include leave entitlements, childcare and working-time arrangements. Policies and practices in these areas make workplaces more responsive to families' needs and support child development and gender equality.

77. Social pensions, support for family caregivers, multigenerational housing arrangements and intergenerational solidarity programmes are indispensable in strengthening reciprocal ties and in helping to build a society for all ages. Shared-site projects offering services to the young and the old concurrently could serve as a service model to meet changing demographic needs. Intergenerational learning projects, which promote mutually beneficial interactions and communication between generations, are essential for social integration in families and communities.

78. Importantly, family policies should ensure a variety of opportunities and choices for families in accordance with their needs and values. Moreover, such policies should be developed in collaboration with families themselves. Given that family policies are cross-cutting and aim at empowering families, rather than assuming their functions, families, family organizations and civil society actors should cooperate in their design, monitoring and implementation.

79. International and regional exchange of good practices in family policymaking would help to ensure improvements in family policy development at the national level. Such exchange also has the potential to contribute to the development of family-oriented programmes and strategies worldwide. The establishment of regional mechanisms for exchanges, such as the European Alliance for Families, would further contribute to the achievement of this goal.

80. Commitments to families have been repeatedly expressed at the national, regional and international levels. The very objectives of the International Year of the Family and its follow-up processes, including its upcoming twentieth anniversary,

sary, should be taken up at the national level to improve the well-being of families worldwide. Analysis of good practices in family policymaking indicates that focusing on family poverty, work-family balance and intergenerational solidarity is key for Governments and other stakeholders in the context of the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family. The recommendations below aim at guiding national policies towards focusing on families better in order to respond to the challenges that they face.

81. Member States may wish to consider the following recommendations²⁵:

Family policy

- (a) Governments are encouraged to reduce family poverty and prevent the intergenerational transfer of poverty through adequate family-centred benefits, including social protection, old-age pensions, cash transfers, housing assistance, child benefits and tax breaks;
- (b) Governments, in cooperation with relevant stakeholders, are encouraged to increase the provision and flexibility of parental leave, invest in early childhood care and education and improve the availability of flexible working arrangements in line with decent work and gender equality objectives;
- (c) Governments and other stakeholders are encouraged to promote policies strengthening intergenerational solidarity through investment in family caregiver support programmes, multigenerational living arrangements, cross-generational facilities and intergenerational learning, mentoring and volunteering programmes;

Preparations for, and observance of, the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family

- (d) The Commission for Social Development may consider requesting Governments, regional commissions, specialized agencies of the United Nations system and civil society to report on their activities in support of the twen-

- (e) Governments, United Nations entities, civil society organizations and academic institutions are encouraged to share good practices and data on family policy development, especially in the areas of poverty, work-family balance and intergenerational solidarity;
- (f) Governments may consider establishing partnerships with civil society organizations, private enterprises and academic institutions in support of family-oriented policy and programme design, implementation and evaluation in preparation for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family.

²⁵ Further recommendations can be found in the report entitled "Family-oriented policies for poverty reduction, work-family balance and intergenerational solidarity" (see footnote 22).

United Nations

E/CN.5/2013/L.3
Nations Unies



Economic and Social Council

Distr.: Limited

13 February 2013

Original: English

Commission for Social Development

Fifty-first session

6-15 February 2013

Agenda item 3 (b)

Follow-up to the World Summit for Social Development and the twenty-fourth special session of the General Assembly: review of relevant United Nations plans and programmes of action pertaining to the situation of social groups

Fiji:*,** draft resolution

The Commission for Social Development recommends to the Economic and Social Council the adoption of the following draft resolution:

Preparations for and observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family

* On behalf of the States Members of the United Nations that are members of the Group of 77 and China.

** In accordance with rule 69 of the rules of procedure of the functional commissions of the Economic and Social Council.

The Economic and Social Council,

Recalling General Assembly resolutions 44/82 of 8 December 1989, 47/237 of 20 September 1993, 50/142 of 21 December 1995, 52/81 of 12 December 1997, 54/124 of 17 December 1999, 56/113 of 19 December 2001, 57/164 of 18 December 2002, 58/15 of 3 December 2003, 59/111 of 6 December 2004, 59/147 of 20 December 2004, 60/133 of 16 December 2005, 62/129 of 18 December 2007, 64/133 of 18 December 2009, 66/126 of 19 December 2011 and 67/142 of 20 December 2012 concerning the proclamation, preparations for, and observance of the International Year of the Family and its tenth and twentieth anniversaries,

Recognizing that the preparation for and observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family provide a useful opportunity for drawing further attention to the objectives of the Year for increasing cooperation at all levels on family issues and for undertaking concerted actions to strengthen family-centred policies and programmes as part of an integrated comprehensive approach to development,

Recognizing also that the follow-up to the International Year of the Family is an integral part of the agenda and of the multi-year programme of work of the Commission for Social Development,

Noting the active role of the United Nations in enhancing international cooperation in family-related issues, particularly in the areas of re-

search and information, including the compilation, analysis and dissemination of data,

Noting also the importance of designing, implementing and monitoring family-oriented policies, especially in the areas of poverty eradication, full employment and decent work, work-family balance, social integration and intergenerational solidarity,

Recognizing that the family, as the natural and fundamental group unit of society, has the primary responsibility for the nurturing and protection of children and that children, for the full and harmonious development of their personality, should grow up in a family environment and in an atmosphere of happiness, love and understanding,

Stressing the importance of creating a conducive environment to strengthen and support all families, recognizing that equality between women and men and respect for all of the human rights and fundamental freedoms of all family members are essential to family well-being and to society at large, noting the importance of reconciliation of work and family life and recognizing the principle of shared parental responsibility for the upbringing and development of the child,

Recognizing that the overall objectives of the International Year of the Family and its follow-up processes continue to guide national and international efforts to improve family well-being worldwide,

Emphasizing that it is necessary to increase coordination of the activities of the United Nations system on family-related issues in order to contribute fully to the effective implementation of the objectives of the International Year of the Family and its follow-up processes,

Convinced that civil society, including research and academic institutions, has a pivotal role in advocacy, promotion, research and policymaking in respect of family policy development and capacity-building,

1. *Welcomes* the report of the Secretary-General on the preparations for and observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family in 2014²⁶ and the recommendations contained therein;

2. *Urges* Member States to view 2014 as a target year by which concrete efforts will be taken to improve family well-being through the implementation of effective national policies, strategies and programmes;

3. *Requests* the Commission for Social Development to continue reviewing the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family as part of its agenda and of its multi-year programme of work until 2014 and to hold a panel discussion in observance of the twentieth anniversary of the Year at its fifty-second session;

4. *Also requests* the Commission for Social Development to continue to apply the following themes to guide the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family: (a) poverty eradication: confronting family poverty and social exclusion; (b) full employment and decent work for all: ensuring work-family balance; (c) social integration: advancing social integration and intergenerational solidarity;

5. *Invites* Member States to undertake activities in preparation for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family at the national level;

6. *Encourages* Member States to strengthen or, if necessary, establish relevant national agencies or governmental bodies responsible for the implementation and monitoring of family policies and to research the impact of social policies on families;

7. *Also encourages* Member States to continue their efforts to develop appropriate policies to address family poverty, social exclusion, work-family balance and intergenerational solidarity and to share good practices in those areas;

8. *Further encourages* Member States to adopt effective means to reduce family poverty and prevent the intergenerational transfer of poverty through family-centred benefits and social protection measures, such as old age pensions, cash transfers, housing assistance, child benefits and tax breaks;

9. *Encourages* Member States to strengthen flexible provisions for parental leave, extend flexible working arrangements for employees with family responsibilities, including flexible part-time job opportunities and arrangements, promote gender equality and empowerment of women and enhance paternal involvement and support a wide range of quality childcare arrangements, noting the importance of reconciliation of work and family life and recognizing the principle that both parents have common responsibilities for the upbringing and development of the child;

10. *Encourages* Member States to support intergenerational solidarity through the provision of social protection schemes, including pensions,

²⁶ A/68/61-E/2013/3.

and investment in cross-generational facilities, volunteering programmes aimed at youth, older persons and persons with disabilities, mentoring and job-sharing programmes;

11. *Also encourages* Member States to develop and implement policies and national strategies to prevent violence within the family as a whole and thereby enhance the well-being of all of its members;

12. *Recommends* that United Nations agencies and bodies, including the regional commissions, and invites relevant intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations and research and academic institutions to, work closely with the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the Secretariat in a coordinated manner on family-related issues, including the preparations for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family;

13. *Encourages* the regional commissions, within their respective mandates and resources, to participate in the preparatory process of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of

the Family and to play an active role in facilitating regional cooperation in this regard;

14. *Invites* Member States, non-governmental organizations and academic institutions to support, as appropriate, the preparations for regional meetings in observance of the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family;

15. *Encourages* Member States to consider, in preparation for the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family, establishing partnerships with civil society organizations, the private sector and academic institutions, as appropriate, in support of family-oriented policy and programme design;

16. *Invites* Member States, United Nations agencies and bodies, civil society organizations and academic institutions to continue providing information on their activities in support of the objectives of, and preparations for, the twentieth anniversary of the International Year of the Family and to share good practices and data on family policy development to be included in the relevant reports of the Secretary-General.

News from the Vienna NGO Committee of the Family

**Presentation at a Full Committee Meeting & International Forum
Vienna NGO Committee of the Family**

United Nations Vienna International Centre November 12th 2012

FAMILY STUDIES: The relevance of community based participatory research by Marta Gonçalves, Ph.D.

Abstract

In Portugal, as in all other European countries, we are commemorating in 2012 the European Year for Active Ageing and Solidarity between Generations. The topic arises as a priority on the political Portuguese agenda, along with two other social topics: mental health and family. Portugal has one of the highest rates of mental illness and one of the lowest fertility rates in Europe. Though all the three topics appear to be key areas of concern internationally. Therefore, this communication intends to briefly present a series of projects developed by the ISCTE-IUL team FAMILY, MENTAL HEALTH & INTERGENERATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS in partnership with family NGO's and other organizations. Through a community-based participatory and multi-informant research approach, we intend to alert to the need for a cultural change. The communication ends with a discussion of implications for action, policy and future research as preparation of the Twentieth Anniversary of the International Year of the Family 2014.

Biosketch

Marta Gonçalves is currently a Researcher at the Centre for Social Research and Intervention at the Lisbon University Institute ISCTE-IUL and at the Center for Multicultural Mental Health Research at Harvard Medical School and a Visiting Professor at the Department of Social and Organizational Psychology at ISCTE-IUL and at the Doctoral Program in Mental Health and Clinical Neurosciences at the University of Porto. Marta Gonçalves completed her PhD at the University of Zurich within the 52nd Swiss National Program - Childhood, Youth and Intergenerational Relationships in a Changing Society. Marta Gonçalves was also an Invited Researcher at the University of Freiburg in Germany and a psychologist for expat and diplomatic families in Brussels (Belgium) and Warsaw (Poland). Her basic training was at the German School of Porto and at the Faculty of Psychology of the University of Porto.

Introduction

In Portugal, as in all other European countries, we are commemorating in 2012 the European Year for Active Ageing and Solidarity between Generations. The topic arises as a priority on the political Portuguese agenda, along with two other social topics: mental health and family. Portugal has one of the highest rates of mental illness and one of the lowest fertility rates in Europe. The question is: how can policy be coordinated with community action and research? CBPR may be the key.

Community-Based Participatory Research

CBPR means community based participatory research. Traditionally, community action was concentrated on the immediate solution of the daily problem symptoms IN the community, while research was concentrated on the scientific questions and methods ON the community (Mulvaney-Day et al., 2006). CBPR is concentrated on defining the problem and implementing the solution WITH the community. The focus is on the relational aspects of the process. And as all relations, it requires time and respect. CBPR aroused when "objective" research trials failed (Alegria et al., 2011). There was no sustainability for well-

measured interventions. How can we think that a laboratory doing research with university students is the same as a community context with its natural dynamics, strengths and weaknesses? How can we think that trying an intervention in a private school will be ok to apply to the whole public system? More, the “objective” research I mentioned has always the eyes of the researcher. Which question he or she wants to answer, which method he or she prefers to apply and how he or she wants to present the results. CBPR is therefore more “objective” as it involves in all research steps (study design, data collection, data analysis, study dissemination) the equal authority of community stakeholders and researchers. Participation and engagement.

When we do research on the community, the research process usually begins by the formulation of a general question. Then we move on to the formulation of more specific questions and concepts. Afterwards we select the research groups and methods and we reevaluate/reformulate the specific questions. Having done this, we start the data collection and before starting the data analysis, we reevaluate/reformulate once more the specific questions. At the end, we evaluate the analysis done and formulate the results. In this process we make several decisions: whom are we going to study (people, groups, institutions), what are we going to study (processes, activities, life styles) and which are our resources (time, money, team). And usually there is a dilemma? To better gain my sample I shall be within the community, but to be “objective” I shall be distant to the community. This dilemma also exists in CBPR though maybe in a different way: who makes what when? Therefore CBPR principles are 1) inclusion, 2) participation and 3) shared authority of all partnership members (stakeholders and researchers). CBPR actions are to identify problems, generate solutions, develop and integrate interventions, facilitate implementation, establish equitable partnerships, develop important research topics and combine knowledge and action (Rapaport et al., 2008). CBPR is a continuous evolution process of reflection and analysis and deep understanding of complexity, which requires continuous change. A work in progress.

According to Mulvaney-Day et al. (2006) there are three questions for reflection during the CBPR process: 1) feasibility (e.g. legal/administrative constraints), 2) research process (e.g. not sharing preliminary findings about the intervention with the comparison group) and 3) stakeholders par-

ticipation (e.g. underlying objective of the project for the different stakeholders). Rapaport et al. (2008) state three facilitators for the partnership success 1) secure attachment between researchers and stakeholders (to take risks and responsibility, to be self-reflexive and have will to change), 2) awareness of authentic self (emotions, feelings, judgments, reactions, expectations and beliefs) and 3) learned optimism helps integration of perspectives (at the beginning of a partnership usually there is the perspective of the researchers and the perspective of the community). These facilitators can be applied to any significant relation. Alegria et al. (2011) share the keys for each CBPR process step 1) development, 2) implementation, 3) dissemination, 4) evaluation and 5) training. At the development of a CBPR partnership, it is important to negotiate a written protocol (roles, agenda, interests and resources), to recognize power conflicts, to state that changes in participation do not mean failure (e.g. somebody leaves job), to handle structural questions (institutional and funding policies, bureaucracy and human resources) and to guarantee ways of documenting the process and results. When implementing a CBPR partnership, university, community and funders’ policy change, trust and awareness are important. At the dissemination of a CBPR partnership it is important the mutual participation of stakeholders and researchers with innovative presentation strategies in academic, community and general public settings (co-ownership of data and results). At the evaluation of a CBPR partnership it is important to have documented what did and did not function and added value of the partnership. In terms of training in CBPR partnership it is important to train community, researchers and funders and develop formal forms and informal advices.

Family in Portugal

Nowadays in Portugal we are witnessing a large decrease in the fertility rate (INE, 2011). This phenomenon brings along several social sustaining problems. Therefore, large families, i.e. families with three or more children, seem therefore essential for contradicting this tendency. Having this in mind, we conducted a study (Boavida & Gonçalves, 2012) with the main aim of exploring large families’ mothers (LFM) perspectives, by comparing them with non-large families mothers (NLFM). We used both quantitative and qualitative approaches, analysing how the family type related with variables such as life satisfaction, religiosity,

attitudes towards contraception and attitudes towards abortion. Within the quantitative approach (N= 210 LFM; 170 NLFM) we found evidence supporting the hypothesis that LFM have higher levels of life satisfaction and religiosity, and have more negative attitudes towards contraception and abortion. We have also tested the hypotheses of the relations between family type and attitudes towards contraception and abortion being moderated by the strength of different types of religiosity (organizational, non organizational and intrinsic). Although these last hypotheses have not been fully supported, we found some evidence in that direction. Within the qualitative approach we interviewed mothers of each family type (N= 3 LFM; 3 NLFM). Analyzing those interviews, the content revealed being consistent with the conclusions found within the quantitative approach and the next two examples illustrate this:

I identify in all aspects. I am 100% Catholic.

vs.

I disagree with the story of condoms.

Having an open relationship to life, we feel that God can put His hand in our lives.

vs.

Family planning is the management of the health of women and the family.

We conclude that additional research on large families and reproductive behaviour is needed. According to Angeles (2009), the higher fecundity, the higher life satisfaction in married women. Though there have been several studies that state that the achieved fecundity is lower than the desired fecundity (Liefbroer, 2009; Netsonda, 2009). Future research should also take into account the father's perspective and the attitudes towards methods of Natural Family Planning, or the effects of this type of planning in the couple's life and life satisfaction. Anyway, this small study may have policy relevance in the identification of measures to reduce the discrepancy between the number of children desired and the number of children reached. For this study it was crucial the support of the Portuguese Association for Large Families – APFN. Although this study did not consist of a CBPR approach, it was a beginning of relationship for future studies of that nature as the one we have already begun.

Another issue we face in Portugal concerning family is the conflict work-family. According to OECD (2010) more than 60% of women in Portugal are working, compared to 59,6% - the mean of OECD countries, and there are less women working in part-time – less than 10% compared to 21,7%, the mean of the other OECD countries. Therefore we conducted a study (Farcas & Gonçalves, 2012) on the bidirectional conflict of work and family among Portuguese population and expats living in Portugal. An online questionnaire was completed by 136 expats (M= 44.25 SD = 7.35) and 171 Portuguese citizens (M= 42.60, SD = 7.39). The results show that two groups perceive a greater interference of work in the family than family at work. Two examples of participant's spontaneous comments to the study are:

"We all like to earn more, but I would like to spend more time with my children..."

Portuguese Mother

"Having my spouse at home taking care of our children makes me feel calm and concentrate better at work..."

Expatriate Father

Child and Youth Mental Health

Both the European Representation of the World Health Organization (WHO, 2010) and the European Commission (EC, 2009) state child and adolescent mental health as a key area of concern in Europe, including Portugal. One fifth of children and young people present psychological distress (Steinhausen & Winkler-Metzke, 2002). Mental illness, especially emotional and behavioral problems and learning difficulties increase among children and young Europeans. The results of the international research project on social representations of youth aged between 12 and 16 years in the area of mental health - The AMHC study: Access to Mental Health Care in Children (Gonçalves, 2009; Gonçalves & K  ppler, 2010; Gon  lves & Moleiro, 2012; Gon  lves, 2012) demonstrate the need to destigmatize the health and mental illness. According to young people, their caregivers and health and education professionals who serve them, the biggest barrier for not seeking help, regardless of whether or not they belong to an ethnic minority, is the stigma.

Stigma is not only associated with psychiatry as predominantly presented in the literature. Stigma

is associated with mental health care in general. For instance many people still do not know the difference between a psychologist and a psychiatrist for instance. Stigma related to the child/youth with mental distress, to the mental health worker, to the mental health treatment and to mental health/illness itself still exists. Children, youth and their parents still think that only "crazy" people use mental health care and that "peers" make fun of who uses mental health care (Gonçalves, 2012). Such prejudice often leads to bad discriminatory consequences. Corrigan (2004) refers that people often choose not to get health care to avoid the perception of carrying a mental illness, which can be stigmatizing.

Youth mental health destigmatisation strategies can be education, contact and protest. The one which seems to have better long-term effect is contact between the general population and mental health clients (Corrigan & Penn, 1999). There are not many evidence-based youth mental health contact destigmatisation programs. The ones we found in a literature review are Pinto-Foltz et al. (2011) in US, Chan et al. (2009) in Hong Kong, Pinfold et al. (2003) in UK and Schulze et al. (2003) in Germany. We are now currently testing one in Portugal (Gonçalves, 2012). Regarding measures to evaluate youth mental health contact destigmatisation programs we have only found the Attribution Questionnaire Children (AQ-8-C) of Corrigan et al. (2005) and the adapted version of the Social Distance Scale of Coleman et al. (2009). Most are used with adult population. In Portugal we are now using with adolescents aged 12-16 (Gonçalves, 2012) besides Corrigan's measure, the Self Stigma of Seeking Help Scale (SSOSH) of Vogel et al. (2006) and the Social Stigma for Receiving Psychological Help Scale (SSRPH) of Komiya et al. (2000). Through a participatory approach we designed a destigmatization program, which is being tested at the Portuguese educational context, with an intervention and a control group and three different measure times. It consists in a video-based mental health destigmatisation program where a fifteen-old Portuguese girl speaks for 10 minutes about herself and her positive experience with the psychologist. A cultural change in relation to mental health issues may help to decrease the expectations of the World Health Organization (WHO, 2010) that previews depression as the second cause of death worldwide in 2020.

Intergenerational Relationships

Within the framework of the European Year for Active Ageing and Solidarity

Between Generations 2012 and facing the Portuguese reality with regard to children, youth, older people and families (e.g. fertility rate does not allow renewal of generations), we created in January 2012 the working group "Advocacy for intergenerational policies." This group consists of nine members, representatives of different institutions, and meets regularly. The group's mission is to look, think and act within the intergenerational policies at the level of research, practice and politics. In this sense, the group is committed to promote social dialogue and good practice within the intergenerational relationships, as well as establishing the basis for intergenerational policies. In terms of activities, the group analyzed the existing social, health and education intergenerational policies, organized and promoted events, projects and publications in the field of intergenerational relations (bridge between science, policy and community). The group participated in several national and international events and aims to extend its action to the European level, stimulating the creation of similar groups in several European countries, as well as the subsequent exchange and cooperation between groups.

Also within the topic intergenerational relationships, we opened last November 14th 2012 an innovative project - an intergenerational university within a public university in Portugal. According to the World Health Organization, active aging is the process of optimizing opportunities for health, social participation and security, to increase quality of life during aging, where intergenerational solidarity is a global order (WHO, 2002). Some authors state that in contemporary society there is a need to create a new paradigm of intergenerational learning (Newman e Hatton-Yeo, 2008). In Portugal age discrimination against both older and younger people is a serious problem (Abrams et al., 2011). This new program being tested is composed by several sessions of training in social research and intervention in the specific areas of mental health, family and intergenerational relationships. In 2012, the European Year of Active Ageing and Solidarity between Generations, this program was classified within the twenty best Portuguese youth volunteer projects. The program promotes, through a stimulating academic experience, where everyone's contribution is essential, a significant social interaction between juniors and seniors, sensitizing all to the importance of inter-

generational solidarity and fighting age discrimination.

Conclusion

There is a need of more coordination of policy with practice and research, as well as between health, education and social welfare policies. For instance, family incentive policies along Europe are urgent. Family is the basis of our society. A structured family is the basis of child and youth mental health. As preparation of the Twentieth Anniversary of the International Year of the Family 2014, we have to work together on these three priority social topics: family, mental health and intergenerational relationships. Through a community-based participatory and multi-informant research approach, we need to alert for a cultural change and discuss together the implications for action, policy and future research within family, mental health and intergenerational relationships. We need to promote a strong networking among us. For me science means telling a story. The story I want to tell is that we need to invest: 1) in FAMILY and INTERGENERATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS to keep MENTAL HEALTH, 2) in MENTAL HEALTH and INTERGENERATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS to keep FAMILY and 3) in MENTAL HEALTH and FAMILY to keep INTERGENERATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS. Now the question is: who wants to tell the same story?

Let us know!

marta.goncalves@iscte.pt

<http://www.facebook.com/F.SM.RI>

Our team

Marta Gonçalves, Ph.D.
Diana Farcas, Ph.D. student
Joana Cordeiro, MA
Rosário Boavida, MA
Angélica Almeida, MA
Neuza Janeiro, MA
Márcia Martins, MA
Carla Branco, MA student
Vera Lomba, MA student
Helena Pereira
Ana Gavancha
Carlos Carriço
Patrícia Gil
Telma Fernandes
Susana Avelino

Some of our national partners

PROSALIS www.prosalis.pt
DGS www.dgs.pt
ARS Lisboa www.arslv.t.min-saude.pt
JRS www.jrsportugal.pt
APFN www.apfn.com.pt
IAC www.iacrianca.pt
FMUP www.med.up.pt
AJPAS www.ajpas.org
IPO Lisboa www.ipolisboa.min-sau.pt
ESTC www.estc.ipl.pt

Some of our international partners

CMMHR www.multiculturalmentalhealth.org
PMPH www.challiance.org
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY www.iastate.edu
Universidade de San Francisco www.usf.com.br
CEP-RUA www.msmdia.com/ceprua
Hospital Universitari Vall
d'Hebron www.vhebron.net
Universidade de las Islas Baleares
<http://www.uib.es/es/>

References

- Abrams, D., Russell, P.S., Vauclair, M., Swift, H. (2011). *Ageism in Europe: Findings from the European Social Survey*. London: AgeUK. ISBN 978-09568731-0-1. (125pp).
- Alegria, M., Wong, Y., Mulvaney-Day, N. E., Nillni, A., Proctor, E., Nickel, N., Wells, K. (2011). Community-based partnered research: New directions in mental health services research. *Ethnicity and Disease*, 21(3 Suppl 1), S1-8-16.
- Angeles, L. (2010). Children and life satisfaction. *Journal of Happiness Studies* 11, 4, 523-538.
- Boavida, R. & Gonçalves, M. (2012). Conceito de Maternidade em Mães de Famílias Numerosas vs. Não Numerosas. IN: 1º CONGRESSO NACIONAL DA ORDEM DOS PSICÓLOGOS PORTUGUESES, Centro Cultural de Belém, Lisboa, Portugal.
- Chan, J. Y. N., Mak, W. W. S., & Law, L. S. C. (2009). Combining education and video-based contact to reduce stigma of mental illness: "The same or not the same" anti-stigma program for secondary schools in Hong Kong. *Social Science & Medicine*, 68, 1521-1526.
- Coleman, D., Walker, J., Lee, J., Friesen, B., & Squire, P. (2009). Children's Beliefs About Causes of Childhood Depression and ADHD: A Study of Stigmatization. *Psychiatric Services*, 60(7), 950.
- Corrigan, P. (2004). How stigma interferes with mental health care. *American Psychologist*, 59, 614-625.
- Corrigan, P., Lurie, B., Goldman, H., Slopen, N., Medasani, K., & Phelan, S. (2005). How adolescents perceive the stigma of mental illness and alcohol abuse. *Psychiatric Services*, 56(5), 544-550.
- Corrigan, P. W., & Penn, D. L. (1999). Lessons from social psychology on discrediting psychiatric stigma. *American Psychologist*, 54 (9), 765-776.
- European Commission. (2009). Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/health/mental_health/eu_compass/policy_recommendations_declarations/index_en.htm
- Farcas, D. & Gonçalves, M. (2012). Famílias Expatriadas: desafios e necessidades do ponto de vista psicossocial. IN: 1º CONGRESSO NACIONAL DA ORDEM DOS PSICÓLOGOS PORTUGUESES, Centro Cultural de Belém, Lisboa, Portugal.
- Gonçalves, M. (2012). Symposium "Portuguese-speaking adolescent mental health in Europe and South America". In: 30th International Congress of Psychology: Psychology Serving Humanity, Cape Town, South Africa.
- Gonçalves, M. & Moleiro, C. (2012). The triangle family-school-primary care and the access to mental health care among migrant and ethnic minorities. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, 14 (4), 682-690. doi 10.1007/s10903-011-9527-9 (1.492, Q2)
- Gonçalves, M. & De Oliveira Käppler, C. (2010). The Access to Mental Health Care in Children: Portuguese speaking families living in a multicultural context in Europe. *Interamerican Journal of Psychology*, 44 (3), 411-420.
- Gonçalves, M. (2009). Der Zugang von Migrantenkindern zur psychischen Gesundheitsversorgung. Eine Untersuchung mit portugiesischsprachigen Familien im multikulturellen Umfeld der Schweiz. Hamburg: Verlag Dr. Kovac. ISBN 978-3-8300-4533-5
- Instituto Nacional de Estatística (2011). *Estatísticas demográficas 2010*. Lisboa: INE.
- Komiya, N., Good, G. E., & Sherrod, N. B. (2000). Emotional openness as a predictor of college students' attitudes toward seeking psychological help. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 47, 138-143.
- Liefbroer, A. (2009). Changes in family size intentions across young adulthood: a life-course perspective *European Journal of Population*, 25, 4, 363-386.
- Mulvaney-Day, N. E., Rappaport, N., Alegria, M., & Codianne, L. M. (2006). Developing systems interventions in a school setting: an application of community-based participatory research for mental health. *Ethnicity & Disease*, 16(1 Suppl 1), S107-117.
- Netsonda (2009). Estudo número de filhos. Disponível em http://www.apfn.com.pt/Relatorio_APFN_Numero_de_filhos.pdf , consultado a 19 de Abril de 2012.
- Newman, S., & Hatton-Yeo, A. (2008). Intergenerational learning and the contributions of older people. *Ageing Horizons*, 8, 31-39.
- OECD (2010). *Employment Database*.
- Pinfold, V., Toulmin, H., Thornicroft, G., Huxley, P., Farmer, P., & Graham, T. (2003). Reducing psychiatric stigma and discrimination: Evaluation of educational interventions in UK secondary schools. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 182, 342-346.
- Rappaport, N., Alegria, M., Mulvaney-Day, N., & Boyle, B. (2008). Staying at the table: Building sustainable community-research partnerships. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 36(6), 693-701.
- Schulze, B., Richter-Werling, M., Matschinger, H., & Angermeyer, M.C. (2003). Crazy? So what! Effects of a school project on students' attitudes towards people with schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 107, 142-150.
- Steinhausen, H.-C. & Winkler-Metzke, C. (2002). Seelische Gesundheit und psychische Probleme im Jugendalter: Verbreitung und Bedingungsfaktoren. In: Institut für Sozial- und Präventivmedizin der Universität Zürich (Hrsg.), *Die Gesundheit Jugendlicher im Kanton Zürich* (pp. 51-60). Serie Gesundheit, Gesundheitsförderung und Gesundheitswesen im Kanton Zürich, im Auftrag der Gesundheitsdirektion Zürich.
- Vogel, D. L., Wade, N. G., & Haake, S. (2006). Measuring the self-stigma associated with seeking psychological help. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 53, 325-337.
- WHO (2002). *Active Ageing: a policy framework*. Geneva.
- WHO Europe (2010). Retrieved from http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0013/121135/E94270.pdf

News from Member Organizations



SELECTED HIGHLIGHTS OF MMM INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Compiled by Irina Pálffy-Daun-Seiler

MMMI Representative to the United Nations in Vienna, on behalf of MMMI

MMMI ON THE INTERNATIONAL STAGE

On the occasion of the **20th Anniversary of the International Year of the Family at the United Nations**, the Delegation Europe of MMMI has joined several other European and worldwide associations to publish a declaration, which will be presented to the UN General Secretary.

This is an opportunity for NGOs to voice their concerns about family issues and **endorse the declaration** at: <http://www.family2014.org/declaration.php>

UN New York: MMMI at the Commission on the Status of Women

At the **57th session of the Commission on the Status of Women** held from **4 to 15 March 2013** at UN Headquarters in New York, MMMI sponsored the following parallel events:

Globalization and Violence against Women: Examining Female Labour, Migration and the Impact on Families

Globalization has had an incredible impact on women around the world and has resulted in border crossing for economic opportunities for millions. Women from countries struggling with poverty are leaving their homes and their families to migrate to prosperous states where they can find work, support themselves and provide for their loved ones. In wealthy countries like the U.S., women are taking on careers and are hiring women from poverty stricken nations to take on “women’s care work”. Thus, women struggling in poverty are taking up a global commute and leaving their own children behind to care for the children of other women.

While some female migrants find work as maids or nannies, others have a much harsher outcome. Many women find themselves manipulated into crossing borders only to have their passports stolen, mobility halted, and are forced into the sex trade. Thus the process of globalization has resulted in massive costs to Third World women where economic opportunities require a global commute, separation from family, exploitation and the risk of becoming sex slaves.

This panel examined the high costs of globalization for women, gender and economic inequity, and the overall impact on families. Proposals were discussed to improve the lives and opportunities of migrant women engaged in domestic work, to prevent trafficking and enslavement, and to counterbalance the systematic transfer of “women’s work” from poor to wealthy nations and the impact on the children and families left behind.

MMMI also co-sponsored **What about Solutions? When will the violence against women and girls stop** with two thematic presentations: “*Mobilizing Outrage: Women Reacting to Public and Private Violence in the Ukraine and Elsewhere: Comparative Strategies*” and “*Strategies to convince men in developing countries to stop sexual violence*”.

During the panel organised by the Secretary-General of the United Nations and Michele Bachelet, UN Under-Secretary-General and Executive Director of UN Women, on **International Women’s Day** on **8 March**, MMMI also made a statement emphasizing the need for more help and understanding for the mothers of the world, who represent over 70% of the adult women population: they are the transmitters and educators of peace and non-violence val-

ues, yet they are also the largest victims of domestic violence and abuse. Very often these mothers do not or cannot seek help and suffer in silence to keep the family “whole” in order to protect their children.

On the occasion of the **57th session of the CSW**, MMMI also joined a [petition for a special resolution to end child marriage](#) endorsed by organizations and individuals, which was formally submitted to the Chair of the CSW Bureau on 7 March 2013.

For the full text of the petition please visit:

http://www.makemothersmatter.org/ficdoc/uk/130703_Petition_End_Child_Marriage.pdf

UN New York: The MMM France at the Commission of Social Affairs

In May 2012, Marie-Laure des Brosses representing **MMM France** spoke on an expert panel at the **UN Commission for Social Affairs** in New York setting out **best practices in France for reconciling family and professional life** regarding maternity and parental leave, child care methods, social innovation especially within pioneering companies etc., to offer recommendations on family policy for the 20th anniversary of the IYF in 2014.

The presentation **Work-Family balance: French best practices** can be found at:

http://www.makemothersmatter.org/ficdoc/120515_MLUN_Work-Family_balance.pdf

The MMMI at the UN Council for Human Rights in Geneva

On **February 11 2013**, a written [statement](#) on “**Mothers, unpaid work and the Right to Food**” with recommendations was presented to the Human Rights Council 22nd Session. The full statement can be found at:

http://www.makemothersmatter.org/ficdoc/130214_UNG_Right_food.pdf

On **24 January 2013**, MMMI submitted a position paper on the Advisory Committee’s **draft declaration on the fight to peace**. Read more at:

http://www.makemothersmatter.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=239:24-janvier-2013-declaration-sur-le-droit-a-la-paix-3&catid=74&Itemid=163&lang=en

At the **June 2012** session of the **Human Rights Council**, Dr Josi Salem-Pickartz, MMMI Advisor on Mental Health Issues (Jordan), together with Valerie Bichelmeier, Permanent MMMI Representa-

tive at the UN Geneva, contributed to the panel highlighting the key role of mothers in the **protection of children in crisis situations**. For the full presentation please visit:

http://www.makemothersmatter.org/ficdoc/120627_Side_Event_UNOG_HRC.pdf

Dr Salem also presented an official statement on violence against women during the plenary session which brought the attention of the Council to the **particular situation of mothers in the Middle East**.

Delegation Europe of MMMI partners in new projects

Delegation Europe joined the Alliance for the European Year 2014

As part of the 20th anniversary of the IYF in 2014, Delegation Europe of MMMI joined the "Alliance for the European Year 2014" initiated by COFACE. This alliance brings together European NGOs, social partners and family organizations across the Europe to support the campaign for the designation of 2014 as the **European Year for Reconciling Work and Family Life**. For more information, please visit:

http://www.mmmeurope.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=97:2014-work-family-life&catid=38&Itemid=157&lang=en

Delegation Europe has become a DORIAN project partner

The Delegation Europe of MMMI became a partner in a new three-year research program that started on 1 January 2012 and is funded by the 7th Framework Programme of the European Commission. Its overall objective is to **research the impact of obesity during maternity on the health of children**. MMMI’s main task in this project is to generate awareness of this project to policy makers and the general public (see website www.dorian-fp7.eu)

THE MMMI NETWORK IN ACTION – GRASSROOTS WORK

MMM France: The working parent – how to cope best?

The difficulty of being a parent is a burning reality which raises numerous questions that MMM France tries to answer. For this purpose, it organises **parent dinners** where parents can exchange their experiences on organising their work life, taking care of their children and dealing with un-

expected problems. The enriching exchange of views is led by a trained facilitator on each table. MMM France also offers **information events** as well as trainings for becoming a facilitator of workshops for mothers where they can share and exchange their experiences and discuss their parenting methods. For more information please visit www.mmmfrance.org (in French only).

MMM Lebanon: Recycling initiative

In view of the serious rubbish collection problem in Lebanon which had turned into a serious health risk MMM Lebanon managed to persuade local councillors to encourage recycling in the community. A **success story** demonstrating how **concerted and coordinated actions between local government, schools and communities** can enhance efforts of the population, particularly mothers who deal with household tasks and educate their children. Since then, MMM Lebanon has also been working with other NGOs to extend the activities to other projects.

Read the full story in our newsletter at:

http://makemothersmatter.org/ficdoc/121211_NE_WSLETTER_3GB.pdf

THE MMMI's MISSION: Hear Mother's voices and voice their concerns for a more secure and stable world

Make Mothers Matter (MMMI) was created in 1947. MMMI is an international, apolitical and non-denominational NGO. It benefits of a general consultative status at the United Nations. Its role is to raise awareness of society, policy makers and public opinion on the vital role of mothers for promoting peace and on their contribution to social and economic progress.

MMMI affiliates associations in more than 30 countries and represents more than 6 million women.

Visit our website at www.makemothersmatter.org for more information and check out the latest [MMMI Newsletter](#).

MMM International: 5 rue de l'Université 75007 Paris

Tel/Fax: +33-1-42 88 27 28

E-mail: mmmi@makemothersmatter.org

www.makemothersmatter.org

Recent and Forthcoming events

20TH TO 22ND MARCH 2013

ANTWERP, BELGIUM

Youth 2.0: connecting, sharing and empowering?

This international multidisciplinary scientific event will address a number of relevant questions related to the use of social media in general and social network sites in particular by children, adolescents and young adults.

WEBSITE: <http://www.ua.ac.be/youth2.0>

29TH APRIL 2013

LONDON, UNITED KINGDOM

One Day National Conference - Children's Centres Conference - Monday 29th April 2013

Guarantee you are making the most efficient use of resources, providing a comprehensive service for the hardest to reach families and are prepared for the policy challenges ahead

WEBSITE: <http://www.capitaconferences.co.uk/public-sector-conferences/childrens-services/full-conference/article/childrens-centres-3.html?code=MKKP>

CONTACT PERSON: Kate Parnell

Organized by: **Capita Conferences**

15TH TO 18TH MAY 2013

SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA

HAPPY FAMILIES, HEALTHY ECONOMY

A NEW VISION FOR NATIONAL PROSPERITY AND SOCIAL PROGRESS

Happy families build better individuals, societies and economies.

What makes a happy family?

WCF7 Sydney will explore how business, government, education, law, healthcare and the media can strengthen families.

What can I do to make family life better?

Be part of the conversation. Be part of the solution.

COME TO WCF7 SYDNEY, May 2013

MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIRPERSON

On behalf of the Local Organising Committee for the World Congress of Families 7 Sydney, I invite you to come to Sydney, Australia from 15th-18th May 2013.

This World Congress of Families is the first to be hosted as a joint venture between two countries – Australia and New Zealand – and the first in this region of the world, and so we particularly encourage our neighbours in the Asia-Pacific Region to come and take advantage of having the Congress so close to home.

While in Sydney why not take advantage of the temperate climate (usually ranging from 17°C to 25°C in May) to relax and enjoy Sydney's wonderful attractions - its iconic Harbour Bridge and Opera House, the sandy beaches and the natural beauty of the surrounding Blue Mountains. If you have more time and a spirit of adventure don't miss this opportunity to travel inland in search of Australia's unique wildlife and landscape, go north to the Great Barrier Reef or travel on to New Zealand - just a short flight away.

Please explore the website as we add further information, and register early to take advantage of the discounts offered.

Our enthusiastic team and I look forward to welcoming you to Sydney in May 2013.



Mrs Mary-Louise Fowler

Chairperson

WCF 7 Sydney Local Organising Committee

WEBSITE: <http://wcfosydney2013.org.au/>

23RD TO 25TH MAY 2013

UISEU, PORTUGAL

1st World Congress on Children and Youth Health Behaviors Conference

This congress aims to be a meeting point for all who are interested in Health Education: researchers, health professionals, teachers, educators and academic.

WEBSITE: <http://congresso-misij.com/?q=en>

Contact person: Carlos Albuquerque

Organized by: Escola Superior de Saúde Viseu

Deadline for abstracts/proposals: 1st April 2013

25TH TO 28TH JUNE 2013

ST.JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR, CANADA

The 1st ever CYC Congress with over 100 presentations from presenters from over 15 different countries focused on the betterment of services for children, youths and families who struggle.

WEBSITE: <http://www.cycworld2013.net>

Contact person: Thom@cycworld2013.net

Deadline for abstracts/proposals: 15th December 2012

3RD TO 5TH JULY 2013

LONDON, UNITED KINGDOM

The 2nd International Family Law and Practice Conference 2013

The 2nd International Family Law and Practice Conference will take place in London from 3–5 July 2013. This will be a multi-disciplinary, international conference which, in addition to the specialist areas of international child abduction, relocation and forced marriage which formed the linked themes of our inaugural conference in 2010, will focus on the issues relating to regular and alternative forms of parentage, equality and gender in the wider areas of Child and Family Law, which will also include finance.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS PLEASE SEE OUR WEBSITE www.londonmet.ac.uk/flp

or email our administrators Claire Keefe c.keefe@londonmet.ac.uk

or Lucy Hall l.hall@londonmet.ac.uk.

7TH TO 12TH OF JULY 2012

STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN

2012 Joint World Conference

The global Social Work and Social Development communities invite you to attend the 2012 Joint World Conference to be held from the 8th to the 12th of July, 2012 in Stockholm, Sweden.

An expected 2,000 social workers, educators and social development practitioners will gather at this conference to share, learn and cooperate to advance our professional objectives. The theme of the Conference is 'Action and Impact' and the focus will be on aligning the local, nationwide, regional and global social practice environments to strengthen and reinforce the impact of our work.

Celebrating social work and social development, respecting our diverse approaches, making links and finding common ground, learning from social workers in all parts of the globe and having fun, will be the backdrop of this event.

FURTHER INFORMATION <http://ifsw.org/events/stockholm/>

Impressum

Projects of the Vienna NGO Committee on the Family are supported by:

Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Bäuerinnen in Niederösterreich
Austrian Federal Government
Bank Austria
Berndorf Gruppe
Creditanstalt Bankverein
E.F.T. Transportagentur GmbH
European Commission
Government of Germany
Government of Liechtenstein
Government of Luxembourg
Government of Spain, Catalonia and the Balearic Islands
INGOs
Niederösterreichische Landesregierung
Niederösterreichische Versicherungs AG
OMV
Rotary International
Shell Austria AG
Schoeller-Bleckmann Oilfield Equipment AG
Siemens
United Nations Trust Fund on Family Activities

'Families International' is published by:

Vienna NGO Committee on the Family:

Office of the Chairperson:

DR. MICHAEL SCHWARZ

JOSEFSTRASSE 13

A-3100 ST. POELTEN

AUSTRIA

FAX: 00 43 27 42 72 222 10

EMAIL: CONTACT@VIENNAFAMILYCOMMITTEE.ORG

Web: <http://www.viennafamilycommittee.org>

Editorial Committee:

Peter Crowley, Michael Schwarz

Executive Editor: Peter Crowley

Layout: Antje Katzschner

The positions and opinions presented in 'Families International', are those of the authors alone, and are not necessarily intended to correspond to, or represent, the views of the Vienna NGO Committee on the Family.